NOTICE OF EX PARTE PRESENTATION

January 14, 2005

Ms. Marlene Dortch Secretary Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW, Room TWA325 Washington, DC 20554

Re: AT&T Corp. Petition for Declaratory Ruling Regarding Enhanced Prepaid Calling Card Services, WC Docket No. 03-133

Dear Ms. Dortch:

The attached written *Ex Parte* Presentation concerning the above-referenced proceeding was sent to the Commissioners by New Ulm Telecom, Inc. on January 14, 2005. In accordance with FCC Rule 1.1206(b)(1), this Notice of *Ex Parte* Presentation and a copy of the *Ex Parte* Presentation are being filed with you electronically for inclusion in the public record.

_<u>/s/</u>
Bill Otis
CEO/President
New Ulm Telecom, Inc

Sincerely,

_

¹ 47 C.F.R. §1.1206(b)(1).

January 14, 2005

EX PARTE PRESENTATION Via E-mail

The Honorable Michael K. Powell Chairman Federal Communications Commission Commission 445 12th Street, SW, Room 8 B201 Washington, DC 20554

The Honorable Kathleen Q. Abernathy Commissioner Federal Communications Commission Commission 445 12th Street, SW, Room 8 B115 Washington, DC 20554

The Honorable Kevin Martin Commissioner Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW, Room 8 A204 Washington, DC 20554 The Honorable Michael Copps Commissioner Federal Communications

445 12th Street, SW, Room 8 A302 Washington, DC 20554

The Honorable Jonathan Adelstein Commissioner Federal Communications

445 12th Street, SW, Room 8 C302 Washington, DC 20554

Re: AT&T Corp. Petition for Declaratory Ruling Regarding Enhanced Prepaid Calling Card Services, WC Docket No. 03-133

Dear Commissioners:

New Ulm Telecom, Inc. has received word that the Federal Communications Commission is considering action on the AT&T Petition for Declaratory Ruling Regarding Enhanced Prepaid Calling Card Services, WC Docket No. 03-133. We are a small telephone company and are writing you because the Commission's action on this matter is of vital importance to our customers.

AT&T has wrongfully interpreted the law that requires payment of intrastate access charges and contributions to the Universal Service Fund on telecommunications services. Moreover, AT&T has wrongfully engaged in self help by withholding \$340 million in intrastate access charge payments and \$160 million in USF contributions based on its inaccurate interpretation of the law. AT&T's refusal to pay intrastate access charge payments where owed hinders the ability of small companies like New Ulm Telecom, Inc. to meet our revenue requirements. Further, AT&T's refusal to make required contributions to the USF puts the entire universal service system in jeopardy because it results in continuing increases in the USF contribution factor for law-abiding carriers and their subscribers, yet AT&T refuses to make required contributions.

The interjection of a recorded advertisement into the process of making a call using AT&T's prepaid calling card service does not make the service an information or enhanced service. In addition, transporting a call made using the service to an out-of-state service platform before completing it does not make the service wholly interstate in nature. The Commission should declare that AT&T's prepaid calling card service is a telecommunications service and that calls made using the service can be either interstate or intrastate depending on the end-to-end transaction of each individual call.

We urge the Commission to deny AT&T's Petition. We also urge the Commission to declare that AT&T is required to make prospective and retroactive intrastate access charge payments on calls made by subscribers using AT&T's prepaid calling card service and that AT&T is required to make prospective and retroactive contributions to the Universal Service Fund on the interstate revenue received from its service. Finally, we also urge the Commission to impose penalties where appropriate for AT&T's failure to make USF contributions.²

The Commission cannot allow AT&T to continue to violate the law. Nor can the Commission condone AT&T's prior unlawful behavior.

Sincerely,

<u>/s/</u>

Bill Otis CEO/President New Ulm Telecom, Inc

² In 2004, the Commission found that AT&T violated the law by not making interstate access charge payments on its phone-to-phone Internet protocol telephony services, but did not require AT&T to pay interstate access charges retroactively and did not impose penalties for AT&T's violation. However, in light of AT&T's blatant disregard of the law requiring payment of intrastate access charges and USF contributions in the present matter, it is imperative that the Commission impose penalties as appropriate. To do otherwise would only encourage other carriers to violate the law in order to reap illegal savings.